1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT LOUIS RUBIO,

Plaintiff,

v.

KATHLEEN ALLISON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-00921-YGR (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT **PREJUDICE**

On July 26, 2021, the Court issued an Order of Dismissal with Leave to Amend. Specifically, the Court granted Plaintiff twenty-eight days from the date of the Order to file an amended complaint to allege facts sufficient to state a cognizable constitutional claim. Plaintiff was warned that the failure to timely file an amended complaint would result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute. The time for Plaintiff to file his amended complaint has passed, and no amended complaint has been filed. Taking into account the salient factors set forth in Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992), the Court finds that dismissal is warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Yourish v. Cal. Amplifier, 191 F.3d 983, 989, 992 (9th Cir. 1999) (affirming dismissal of action following plaintiff's failure to amend complaint after receiving leave to do so, where the interest in expeditious resolution of litigation, the court's management of its docket, and avoiding prejudice to defendants favored dismissal).

¹ If and when Plaintiff is prepared to pursue his claims, he may file a new civil rights action. The limitations period to file a section 1983 action in California is two years, but it is tolled for up to two years during a continuous period of incarceration. See Silva v. Crain, 169 F. 3d 608, 610 (9th Cir. 1999) (holding, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 340(3), that the limitations period for filing a section 1983 action in California is one year); S.B. 688 (amending Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 340(3) and adding section 335.1 to establish two-year residual limitations period for personal injury actions); Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 352.1(a) (providing for an additional two years of tolling during a period of continual imprisonment).

Case 4:21-cv-00921-YGR Document 9 Filed 09/16/21 Page 2 of 2

Northern District of California United States District Court

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint in the above-captioned action is DISMISSED. The Clerk of the Court shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2021

UDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS United States District Judge